Application No. 08/915,659

Applicant(s)

O'Brien et al.

Interview Summary Exam

Examiner

Gabriele E. Bugaisky

Group Art Unit 1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Gabriele E. Bugaisky (PTO)	3)
(2) Benjamin Adler (Applicants' Rep)	4)
Date of Interview Jul 2, 1999	
Type: ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ appl	icant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: \square Yes \square No.	If yes, brief description:
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	,
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an ag infomred Mr. Adler's office that second AF would be marked as require update before decision on allowablility.	'OK to enter', but that search & interference search
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	s, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re-	cord of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE T Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has alreaded THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	HE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP adv been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
 Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including each of the objections, rejections and requirements that claims are now allowable, this completed form is consided Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a is also checked. 	may be present in the last Office action, and since the ered to fulfill the response requirements of the last
•	GABRIELE E. BUGAISKY

GABRIELE E. BUGAISKY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 1653